

From glowbugs@theporch.com Thu Jul 18 11:49:02 1996
Return-Path: glowbugs@theporch.com
Received: from uro (localhost.theporch.com [127.0.0.1]) by uro.theporch.com
(8.7.5/AUX-3.1.1) with SMTP id LAA03080; Thu, 18 Jul 1996 11:40:43 -0500 (CDT)
Date: Thu, 18 Jul 1996 11:40:43 -0500 (CDT)
Message-Id: <199607181640.LAA03080@uro.theporch.com>
Errors-To: ws4s@midtenn.net
Reply-To: glowbugs@theporch.com
Originator: glowbugs@theporch.com
Sender: glowbugs@theporch.com
Precedence: bulk
From: glowbugs@theporch.com
To: Multiple recipients of list <glowbugs@theporch.com>
Subject: GLOWBUGS digest 239
X-Listprocessor-Version: 6.0c -- ListProcessor by Anastasios Kotsikonas
X-Comment: Please send list server requests to listproc@theporch.com
Status: 0

GLOWBUGS Digest 239

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Re: Could there be a 1-tube superhet? (reflex amp)
by Andy Wallace <wallace@mc.com>

Date: Thu, 18 Jul 96 12:35:11 EDT
From: Andy Wallace <wallace@mc.com>
To: jlkolb@cts.com, glowbugs@theporch.com
Subject: Re: Could there be a 1-tube superhet? (reflex amp)
Message-ID: <9607181635.AA01743@training6>

----- Begin Included Message -----

From: John Kolb <jlkolb@cts.com>
Subject: Re: Could there be a 1-tube superhet?

I remember something called, I think, a reflex circuit, where a single tube was used as two or more functions at the same time. Using suitable tuned circuits and coupling circuits could easily amplify RF or IF and audio, without them interacting.

----- End Included Message -----

I think one of the big, common Ghirardi or Terman

tomes talks about this. The conclusion is that there is a performance handicap, so only use it where tube count is a requirement. Still, it sounds like an interesting idea, and the whole concept boggles my feeble mind. RF and IF through the same chain. Yeek! How do they DO that, Daddy?

73,
--Andy
wallace@mc.com

End of GLOWBUGS Digest 239
